

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/603,890	TANASE, GABRIEL EUGEN	

All Participants: _____ **Status of Application:** _____

(1) Tuan T. Lam. (3) _____.

(2) attorney Roger W. Blakely (Reg. no. 25,831). (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 14 December 2004 **Time:** _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

7, 9, 10

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant's representative agreed to cancel the non-elected claim 10 and to amend claims 7 and 9 in order to comply with the 35USC 112, second paragraph and to place the application in a condition for allowance.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



TUANT.LAM

PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)